

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/028,060	12/21/2001	Kimberly Ann Newell	M233.101.101	2940
25281	7590 12/21/2004		EXAMINER	
•	LIG & CZAJA, P.L.L.C.	LUU, SY D		
FIFTH STREE	ET TOWERS IFTH STREET, SUITE 225	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	LIS, MN 55402		2174	<u> </u>
			DATE MAIL ED. 12/21/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/028,	060	NEWELL ET AL.	
		Examin	er	Art Unit	
	<u> </u>	Sy D Lu		2174	
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this commun	ication appears on t	he cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	
THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time m after SIX (6) MONTH  - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD F ATE OF THIS COMMUN ay be available under the provisions S from the mailing date of this com specified above is less than thirty (3 is specified above, the maximum st the set or extended period for reply to the Office later than three months a djustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enunication. io) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be atutory minimum of thirty (30) will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro oplication to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  rom the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				•	
1)⊠ Responsiv	e to communication(s) file	ed on <u>06 November</u>	<u>2003</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action		2b)⊠ This action is			
3) Since this	application is in condition	for allowance excep	ot for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in a	ccordance with the practi	ce under <i>Ex parte</i> C	<i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Clair	ns				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u>	-83 is/are pending in the a	application.			
	above claim(s) is/a	• •	onsideration.		
	is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u>	-83 is/are rejected.			•	
7)☐ Claim(s) _	is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) _	are subject to restric	ction and/or election	requirement.		
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specific	cation is objected to by th	e Examiner.			
10) The drawin	g(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or t	o) objected to by th	ie Examiner.	
Applicant m	ay not request that any obje	ction to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replaceme	nt drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is requ	ired if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or	declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. N	Note the attached Offi	ice Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119			•	
a)	gment is made of a claim  ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  Ified copies of the priority		•	(a)-(d) or (f).	
2.☐ Cert	ified copies of the priority	documents have be	en received in Applic	ation No	
	es of the certified copies cation from the Internation			eived in this National Stage	
	ched detailed Office actio	•	` ''	ived.	
			,		
Attachment(s)				•	
1) Notice of Reference			4) Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftspers	son's Patent Drawing Review (P		Paper No(s)/Mail	I Date	
	ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or ate 4/3/02 & 11/6/03.	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	al Patent Application (PTO-152)	
. Spor Hotel D			о, <u> </u>		

Art Unit: 2174

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-83 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States District Court Northern District of California ("CA District Court", Electronic Case Filing Users Manual).

As per claim 1, CA District Court teaches a computer-based user interface for accessing litigation information associated with at least one litigation case, the user interface comprising:

a web page including a first plurality of user-selectable hyperlinks, each hyperlink in the first plurality of hyperlinks identifying a category of litigation information (page 14, figure depicting CA District Court "Civil Events" web page); and

Art Unit: 2174

1

a plurality of web pages, each web page associated with one of the hyperlinks in the first plurality of hyperlinks, each web page providing litigation information related to the category identified by the hyperlink associated with the web page, each web page displayed in response to selection of the hyperlink associated with the web page (pages 13-14; web pages associated with their respective hyperlinks, e.g. the figure on page 15 depicting "Motions").

CA District Court does not specifically teach the "Civil Events" web page to be the homepage. However, designation of a web a page as a homepage is a design and implementation choice/preference, and thus would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to present the menu choices as well as hyperlinks as shown in order to simplify the menu navigation with the most common information.

As per claims 2-34, 36 and 43, CA District Court teaches categories to be various types of information such as contact information (figures on pages 15-16), docket information (figure on page 28 regarding Docket Sheet), correspondence information ("Responses and Replies" option as depicted in figure on page 14, and also on page 23), pleadings information (information would be shown after selection of any of the hyperlinks under the heading of "Initial Pleadings and Service" in the figure on page 14), discovery information ("Discovery Documents" option as depicted in figure on page 14), trial information ("Trial Documents" option as depicted in figure on page 14), motion information ("Motions" option as depicted in figure on page 14), search feature ("Query" option as depicted in on the toolbar of the figure on page 14), hyperlinks to electronic copies (pages 17, 25, 28-29 shows how filed copies of litigation information saved as PDF format could be accessed by selecting their respective hyperlinks). CA District Court does not expressly disclose rules, patent, expert, court orders,

Art Unit: 2174

copy, client and status information, as well as detail description of all the information. However, these types of information and details are well known in the art. Thus, it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to combine/include these information/features with the teaching of CA District Court in order to provide all pertinent information as required in a litigation management system.

As per claims 35, 38-42, 44-48, all claim limitations such as various configuration of client/server computers and data storage methods on a networks, presenting to users all main menu options/hyperlinks at all times, and launching application programs from a web page using hyperlinks are well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to combine/include these features with the teaching of CA District Court in order to facilitate users navigation of web pages, as well as to manage, share and distribute litigation information efficiently and effectively.

Claim 49 is similar in scope to the combination of claims 17 and 40, and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 50-51 are similar in scope to the combination of claims 7, 14, 21, 27, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 52-53 are similar in scope to the combination of claims 2-3, 5, 7, 10, 14, 18, 21, 24, 27-28, and 11 are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 54-58 are similar in scope to claims 33-36 and 38 respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 59-61 are similar in scope to claims 46-48 respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Art Unit: 2174

1

Claim 62 is similar in scope to claim 49, and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 63-66 are similar in scope to claims 52, 56-58, respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 68-69 are similar in scope to claims 42 and 44, respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale. Although CA District Court does not expressly indicate data storage to be on the client computer, however, the choice of storing data information in either the server or client in a network environment is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to select either storage destination as a preference depending on the implementation method.

Claims 70-74 are similar in scope to claims 62, 65, 50-52, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 75 is similar in scope to claims 49, and is therefore rejected under similar rationale. CA District Court further discloses a client login page (page 11, top figure).

As per claim 76, CA District Court discloses the user interface information further comprises: a plurality of topics pages, each topics page associated with a particular client and including a plurality of hyperlinks identifying a plurality of topics, the plurality of hyperlinks identifying a plurality of topics including a litigation hyperlink; and wherein each litigation case selection page is associated with a litigation hyperlink on one of the plurality of topics pages (pages 11-14).

As per claims 77-79, all claim limitations regarding the use of inactive hyperlinks, links to client's competitors as well as client's intellectual property applications are well known in the art. It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include these features

Art Unit: 2174

with the teaching of CA District Court in order to facilitate users navigation of web pages, as

Page 6

well as to provide users with means to quickly access pertinent and critical litigation information.

Claim 81 is similar in scope to claims 50 and 52, and is therefore rejected under similar

rationale.

Claim 82-83 are similar in scope to claim 65, and are therefore rejected under similar

rationale.

Inquires

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sy Luu whose telephone number is (571) 272-4064. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 7:00 am to 4:30 pm (EST). The

examiner can also be reached on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kristine Kincaid, can be reached on (571) 272-4063.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

(703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

SY D. LUU

PRIMARY EXAMINER